(1)

4

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 970 /2006 SINGLE BENCH

PETITIONER:- Vilas Kumar Pashine Son of Late Shri D. R. Pashine, aged about 46 years, Occupation Sub Divisional Officer (In-Charge), (Sub Engineer), Rural Engineering Department, Antagarh, District Kanker (C.G.).

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :-

1. State of Chhatisgarh, Through: The Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.).

2. The Secretary, Water Resources Department, D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G)

3. The Engineer in Chief, Water Resources Department, Shankar Nagar, Raipur (C.G.).

4. Shri M. L. Dhruw, Son of Not Known, Assistant Engineer, Office of the Executive Engineer, Water Resources Division Rudri, District Raipur (C.G.).

P.R. No. 1045/06
Presented by Kalyan Verma
dated 27/2/06

RECEIVED-COPY
27/2/06
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA M...

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

123

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	14-7-2006 W.P.Nos.1000/2006, 968/2006, 970/2006, 971/2006, 973/2006, 974/2006, 1034/2006, 1173/2006, 1182/2006, 1241/2006, 1242/2006 & 2929/2006. Petitioners by Shri P. Diwakar & Shri Manindra Shrivastava, Senior Advocates with Kum. Sunita Jain, Shri Afroz Khan, Shri Anup Mazumdar, Shri Rajesh Jain, Smt. Yashita Maseeh on behalf of Smt. Hamida Siddique, Shri Manoj Paranjpe, Advocates. Shri Rajeev Shrivastava, counsel for respondent No.4 in W.P. No.971/2006. Shri Pankaj Shrivastava, counsel for respondents No.12, 18 & 30 in W.P.No.1000/2006. Shri Vinay Pandey, counsel for respondents No.13, 32 & 42 in W.P. No.1000/2006. State/respondents by Shri P.K. Verma, Additional Advocate General with Shri V.V.S. Murthy & Shri G.K. Beriwal, Deputy Advocate Generals and Shri Sumesh Bajaj, Government Advocate. Shri R.P. Mandal, Secretary, Panchayat & Rural Development Department; Shri N.S. Bhadoriya, Engineer-in-Chief, Water Resources Department; Shri M.L. Haldkar, CEO, CGRRDA; Shri S.K. Gupta, Chief Engineer, Rural Engineering Services; Shri S.N. Shrivastava, Superintending Engineer, PMGSY, Circle: Raipur & Officer-in-Charge, and Shri Subodh Shrivastava, Executive Engineer, Water Resources Departments & Officer-in-Charge are present in Court.	

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

124

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Heard. By these writ petitions, the petitioners, who are working on various posts in the Department of Rural Engineering Services, have questioned the legality and correctness of orders dated 17-2-2006 and 12-6-2006, whereby the Sub-Engineers of Water Resources Department have been appointed/ transferred in the Rural Engineering Services Department. As far as the impugned orders dated 17-2-2006 & 12-6-2006 are concerned, same are already quashed vide order dated 14-7-2006 passed in W.P.Nos.1103/2006 & 2921/2006 and similar other connected petitions. As far as the prayer made by the petitioners that respondent No.1 be directed not to post any person on deputation in the RES Department, is concerned, Shri Pramod Verma & Shri V.V.S. Murthy made a statement at the Bar that the Department is not going to appoint any Officer by way of deputation/appointment/transfer on any post of the Rural Engineering Services Department which are regular sanctioned posts as per scheduled attached with the rules and are lying vacant. Therefore, in view of the statement made at the Bar by Shri P.K. Verma and Shri V.V.S. Murthy in presence of the Officers of the State, the petitions are allowed to the extent that the existing post of RES Department shall not be filled by way of deputation/transfer/appointment by bringing officials from the other Departments. As far as the prayer that the respondents be directed to convene DPC and fill up the promotional posts which are lying vacant, is concerned, at the first instance, the respondents have already made it clear in reply that they	

P.T.O.

XI-HC-78

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

125

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	are going to fill up these posts. Even today, at the Bar, a statement has been made that the Department is in the process of filling up of these posts by way of promotion, in accordance with the rules. Therefore, in view of the above statement, the relief claimed by the petitioners' stands answered. In the above terms, the petitions are allowed and orders dated 17-2-2006 & 12-6-2006 are quashed Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order. Sd/- L.C. Bhadoo Judge	

Soma

P.T.O.